

REMARKS

1. In response to the Office Action mailed February 7, 2005, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration. Claims 1-34 were originally presented for examination. Claims 1-4, 6, 8-18, 20, 22-30 and 32-34 were rejected in the outstanding Office Action while claims 4 and 15 were objected to and claims 5, 7, 19, 21 and 31 were allowed. By the foregoing Amendments, claims 1, 3, 4, 14, 15, 20, 22, 27 and 33 have been amended and claims 2, 5, 16, 19, 30, 31 and 34 have been canceled. Thus, upon entry of this paper, claims 1, 3-4, 6-15, 17-18, 20-29, 32 and 33 will be pending in this application. Of these twenty-seven (27) claims, four (4) claims (claims 1, 14, 27 and 33) are independent. Based on the above Amendments and following Remarks, Applicants respectfully request that the outstanding objections and rejections be reconsidered, and that they be withdrawn.

Objections to the Drawings

2. The Examiner has objected to the drawings under 37 CFR § 1.83(a) for not showing every feature of the invention specified in the claims. In particular, the Examiner required that the key activated lock recited in the claims be inserted into the Figures or that the feature be deleted from the claims. Applicants have amended Figure 4 to illustrate the key activated lock recited in original claims 8 and 22. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.121(d) Applicants have attached a Replacement Sheet that illustrates this amendment. No other changes were made to the drawing and as such, Applicants respectfully submit that an additional Marked-up copy of the Figure is not necessary. Withdrawal of this objection is, therefore, respectfully requested.

Objections to the Specification

3. The Examiner objected to the specification due to the following informality: the key activated lock of claims 8 and 22 is not disclosed in the specification. Applicants have amended paragraph 45 of the specification to correct this informality. Entry is respectfully requested. Applicants respectfully request that the objection to the specification be withdrawn.

Allowed Claims

4. Applicants note with appreciation the Examiner's indication that claims 5, 7, 19, 21 and 31 are allowable. Applicants have amended independent claims 1, 14 and 27 to incorporate the limitations of claims 5, 19 and 31, respectively, along with intervening claims 2, 16 and 30, respectively. As such, Applicants respectfully submit that independent claims 1, 19 and 27 are in condition for allowance.

5. Applicants have further amended claim 33 so that it recites "security means for causing the housing to lockingly attach to the device so as to prevent unauthorized access to the enclosure, wherein the security means is constructed to require access to the interior of the device to access the enclosure." This amendment to claim 33 adds language similar to the limitations of allowable claims 5, 19, and 31. As such, Applicants respectfully submit that independent claim 33 is likewise in condition for allowance.

6. Applicants have also canceled claims 2, 5, 16, 19, 30, 31, and 34 in light of the above amendments. Applicants have further amended the dependent claims to correct their dependencies, if necessary, in light of the above amendments.

Claim Objections

7. Dependent claims 4 and 15 have been objected to because of informalities. Applicants would like to thank the Examiner for his helpful suggestions for overcoming these objections. Applicants have accordingly amended claims 4 and 15 in accordance with the Examiner's suggestions. Based on the above Amendments, Applicants respectfully request that these objections be withdrawn.

Dependent Claims

8. The dependent claims incorporate all of the subject matter of their respective independent claims and add additional subject matter which makes them a fortiori and independently patentable over the art of record. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the outstanding rejections of the dependent claims be reconsidered and withdrawn.

Conclusion

9. In view of the foregoing, this application should be in condition for allowance. A notice to this effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Michael G. Verga', written over a horizontal line.

Michael G. Verga
Reg. No. 39,410

May 2, 2005

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS

The attached replacement drawing sheet 4/6 includes changes to FIG. 4 so that it illustrates the key activated lock as recited in original claims 8 and 22. This replacement sheet replaces the original drawing sheet 4/6.